Appendix B Strategic Risk Matrix June 2010



| | | | IMPACT | | | | |
|------------|----------------|---|---------------|-----|---|---|---------------------------|
| | | | Insignificant | Low | Medium | High | Extreme |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ГІКЕГІНООБ | Almost certain | 5 | | | 5. Lack of development progress 12. Supported Housing | | |
| | Likely | 4 | | | 14. Implementation of National JE Scheme | 11. Equity Share Project | |
| | Possible | 3 | | | 4. Climate change 6. Productive employee time 7. Shared services | 8. Medium Term Financial Strategy 2. Equalities 3. Illegal traveller encampments / developments | |
| | Unlikely | 2 | | | 9. LAA actions 10. Embedding values | 13. HRA reform | 1. HRA financial position |
| | Rare | 1 | | | | | |

Risk Tolerance Line - - - - -

Managing the risk

Above the risk tolerance line (i.e. a score of 12 - 25):

- Requires active management (consider termination of the activity or project);
- Contingency plans robust plans in place to detect any deviation from expectations;
- May require some mitigation to reduce likelihood (if cost effective).

Below the risk tolerance line (i.e. a score of 1 - 10):

• Reassess quarterly to ensure no change to underlying risk or control measures.

[Note: The score is obtained by multiplying the Impact by the Likelihood (e.g. Impact: High; Likelihood: Possible would result in a score of 12 - i.e. 4 x 3).]